



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/934,776	08/21/2001	Kristen A. McIntyre	0007056-0117/P5598	8589	
24209	7590 06/29/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
GUNNISON MCKAY & HODGSON, LLP 1900 GARDEN ROAD			CHEN, W	CHEN, WENPENG	
SUITE 220	EN ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONTEREY	, CA 93940		2624		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/934,776	MCINTYRE, KRISTEN A.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Wenpeng Chen	2624			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Fail Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)[,					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
A++ = = h =						
Attachmen 1) Notice	ar(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/934,776

Art Unit: 2624

Examiner's responses to Applicant's remark

1. Applicants' arguments filed on 2/14/2005 have been fully considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-3, 8, 10-11, 13-15, 19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for the following reasons.

There are insufficient antecedent bases for the following limitations.

- -- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the connection" in lines 5-6, "the perception degradation" in line 7, "said image" in line 7, "the results" in line 9, and "the results" in line 10.
 - -- Claim 10 recites the limitation "said transmitting" in line 2.
 - -- Claim 11 recites the limitation "said discarding" in line 2.
- -- Claim 13 recites the limitation "the connection" in line 9, "the perception degradation" in line 11, "said image" in line 11, "the results" in line 14, and "the results" in line 15.
- -- Claim 21 recites the limitation "said computer readable code configured to cause a computer to transmit the most interesting data first " in lines 3-4.
- -- Claim 22 recites the limitation "said computer readable code configured to cause a computer to discard repetitious data" in lines 2-3.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-3, 8, 10-11, 13-15, 19, and 21-22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter.

The prior art fails to teach the method and apparatus of Claim 1 and the computer program product of Claim 13 that specifically comprise the following features in combination with other recited limitations:

- -- calculating perceptual degradation of an image for various compression schemes;
- -- choosing a compression scheme based on the calculated bandwidth latency and the calculated perceptual degradation of said image for various compression schemes.
- 5. Some newly found relevant references are listed in form PTO-892. None of the teaches the combination of the above features.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). The Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for response to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the date of this action. In the event a first response is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/934,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 2624

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for response expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K Moore can be reached on 571-272-7437. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen Examiner Art Unit 2624

June 24, 2005

Worn ce